



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,960	03/30/2007	Rainer Minixhofer	I4603-022US1 P2003,0796 U	3880
26161	7590	66/23/2009	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			HUBER, ROBERT T	
P.O. BOX 1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			2892	
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/23/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/578,960	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MINIXHOFER, RAINER
	<b>Examiner</b> ROBERT HUBER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2892

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ROBERT HUBER. (3) Mr. Timothy Bryan.

(2) Mr. Frank Gerratana. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Iwasaki (US 7,129,466) and Lebovec (US 3,569,997).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of the teachings of Iwasaki and the ordering of detection regions in silicon. Examiner suggested amendment to claim 1 of "three radiation-sensitive zones that are formed in silicon", which appears to overcome the prior art of Iwasaki. Also, the Examiner suggested an argument be made in response to the ordering of the radiation-sensitive zones in silicon of Iwasaki. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lex Malsawma/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2892